

On the existence of Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature

KENJI TSUBOI

Abstract

For certain compact complex Fano manifolds M with reductive Lie algebras of holomorphic vector fields, we determine the analytic subvariety of the second cohomology group of M consisting of Kähler classes whose Bando-Calabi-Futaki character vanishes. Then a Kähler class contains a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature if and only if the Kähler class is contained in the analytic subvariety. On examination of the analytic subvariety, it is shown that M admits infinitely many nonhomothetic Kähler classes containing Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature but does not admit any Kähler-Einstein metric.

1 Introduction

The question of whether a manifold admits a Riemannian metric of constant scalar curvature or not is a classical problem. For any real closed manifold M of dimension greater than two, Kazdan and Warner [10] proved that M admits at least a Riemannian metric of negative constant scalar curvature. On the other hand, there exists an obstruction to the existence of Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature. Indeed, let M be an m -dimensional compact complex manifold. Denote by $\text{Aut}(M)$ the complex Lie group consisting of all biholomorphic automorphisms of M and by $\mathfrak{h}(M)$ its Lie algebra consisting of all holomorphic vector fields on M . The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}(M)$ is called reductive if $\mathfrak{h}(M)$ is the complexification of the Lie algebra of a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(M)$. In [14], Matsushima proved that $\mathfrak{h}(M)$ is the complexification of the real Lie algebra consisting of all infinitesimal isometries of M , and hence $\mathfrak{h}(M)$ is reductive, if M admits a Kähler-Einstein metric. Generalizing the result of Matsushima, Lichnerowicz proved

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 53C55.

Key words and phrases. Kähler manifold, constant scalar curvature, Bando-Calabi-Futaki character
The author is deeply grateful to the referee for valuable information.

in [12], [13] that $\mathfrak{h}(M)$ must satisfy a certain condition if M admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature. (For details see also [11, Theorem 6.1].) When M is a compact simply connected Kähler manifold, the condition of Lichnerowicz is equivalent to that of Matsushima. For example, the one point blow-up of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ does not satisfy the condition (see [5, p.100]) and hence does not admit any Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature. Thus the problem to solve is whether M with reductive $\mathfrak{h}(M)$ admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature or not.

Generalizing the result of Futaki [3], Bando [1], Calabi [2] and Futaki [4] give an obstruction to the existence of a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature whose Kähler form is contained in some particular Kähler class. Let Ω be a Kähler class, $\omega \in \Omega$ a Kähler form and s_ω the scalar curvature of ω . Let $c_1(M) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be the first Chern class of M and set

$$\mu_\Omega = \frac{(\Omega^{m-1} \cup c_1(M))[M]}{\Omega^m[M]},$$

where $[M]$ denotes the fundamental cycle of M . Then there exists uniquely a smooth function h_ω up to constant such that

$$s_\omega - m\mu_\Omega = \Delta_\omega h_\omega,$$

and the integral

$$f_\Omega(X) = \int_M X h_\omega \omega^m$$

is defined for $X \in \mathfrak{h}(M)$. This integral $f_\Omega(X)$ is independent of the choice of Kähler forms $\omega \in \Omega$. Moreover, $f_\Omega : \mathfrak{h}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Lie algebra character and f_Ω vanishes if Ω contains a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature. The character f_Ω is called the Bando-Calabi-Futaki character or the Futaki invariant.

When Ω is a Hodge class and a holomorphic line bundle L with $c_1(L) = \Omega$ admits a lifting of the Ω -preserving action of a subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(M)$, in [16] Nakagawa gives a lifting of the Lie algebra character f_Ω to a group character $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mu_\Omega \mathbb{Z})$ by using the results in [17] and [6].

Assume that there exists an inclusion $\iota : \text{U}(1) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$ and that Ω is equal to the first Chern class of a holomorphic $\text{U}(1)$ -line bundle L over M . For any integer $p \geq 2$ let Y denote the element $2\pi\sqrt{-1}$ of the Lie algebra of $\text{U}(1)$ and set

$$(1) \quad X = \iota_* Y \in \mathfrak{h}(M), \quad X_p = \frac{1}{p}X \in \mathfrak{h}(M), \quad g_p = \exp X_p \in \text{Aut}(M).$$

Then the order of g_p is p . We assume that the next assumption is satisfied. (See Assumption 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 in [7].)

Assumption 1.1 Assume that the fixed point set of g_p^k for $1 \leq k \leq p-1$ is independent of k and that the connected components $N_1, \dots, N_n$ of the fixed point set, which are compact complex submanifolds of M , have cell decompositions with no codimension one cells.

Let α_p denote the primitive p -th root of unity defined by

$$\alpha_p = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p}$$

hereafter. Suppose that g_p^k acts on $K_M^{-1}|_{N_i}$ via multiplication by $\alpha_p^{kr_i}$ and acts on $L|_{N_i}$ via multiplication by $\alpha_p^{k\kappa_i}$. Suppose moreover that the normal bundle $\nu(N_i, M)$ is decomposed into the direct sum of subbundles

$$\nu(N_i, M) = \oplus_j \nu(N_i, \theta_j),$$

where g_p^k acts on $\nu(N_i, \theta_j)$ via multiplication by $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_j}$. Then a cohomology class $\Phi(\nu(N_i, M))$ is defined by

$$\Phi(\nu(N_i, M)) = \prod_j \prod_{k=1}^{R_j} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-x_k - \sqrt{-1}\theta_j}} \in H^*(N_i; \mathbb{C}) \quad (R_j = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(\nu(N_i, \theta_j))),$$

where $\prod_k (1 + x_k)$ is equal to the total Chern class of $\nu(N_i, \theta_j)$. For $1 \leq k \leq p-1$, $\varepsilon = -1, 0, +1$ and an integer ζ , we define numbers $T_i(k, \varepsilon, \zeta)$ and $S_\varepsilon(\zeta)$ by

$$T_i(k, \varepsilon, \zeta) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^k} (\alpha_p^{k(-\varepsilon r_i + \zeta \kappa_i)} e^{-\varepsilon c_1(K_M^{-1}|_{N_i}) + \zeta c_1(L|_{N_i})} - 1)^{m+1} \text{Td}(TN_i) \Phi(\nu(N_i, M)) [N_i],$$

$$S_\varepsilon(\zeta) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} T_i(k, \varepsilon, \zeta),$$

where $\text{Td}(TN_i)$ is the Todd class of TN_i . Then $F_L(g_p)$ is defined by

$$F_L(g_p) = (m+1) \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} (S_{-1}(m-2i) - S_{+1}(m-2i))$$

$$- m\mu_\Omega \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} (-1)^i \binom{m+1}{i} S_0(m+1-2i).$$

The lifting of the character f_Ω given by Nakagawa is expressed by a Simons character of a certain foliation. In [7], we give a localization formula for the Simons character under Assumption 1.1. The next theorem follows from [16, Theorem 4.7] and [7, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 1.2 *There exists a non-zero constant $A(m, n)$ determined only by m, n such that $F_L(g_p) \equiv A(m, n)f_\Omega(X_p) \pmod{\mathbb{Z} + \mu_\Omega \mathbb{Z}}$.*

2 Main result

For $m, n \geq 1$, let H_m, H_n be the hyperplane bundles over the complex projective spaces $\mathbb{CP}^m, \mathbb{CP}^n$ respectively, and

$$\pi_1 : H_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^m, \quad \pi_2 : H_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$$

their projections. Let $E = \pi_1^* H_m \oplus \pi_2^* H_n$ be the rank 2 vector bundle over $\mathbb{CP}^m \times \mathbb{CP}^n$. Let M be the total space of the projective bundle of E and J_M the tautological bundle of M . Then M is an $(m+n+1)$ -dimensional simply-connected compact Kähler manifold and the same argument as in [3, Proposition 3.1] shows that M is a Fano manifold (see also [5, Proposition 4.2.1]) and the identity component of $\text{Aut}(M)$ coincides with the factor group $(\text{GL}(m+1, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))/\mathbb{C}^*$, where $\mathbb{C}^*$ is the center of $\text{GL}(m+n+2, \mathbb{C})$. Hence the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}(M)$ is isomorphic to

$$\{(A, B) \in \mathfrak{gl}(m+1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \mid \text{Tr } A + \text{Tr } B = 0\},$$

which satisfies the condition of Matsushima.

Applying the Gysin exact sequence to the fibration

$$F = \mathbb{CP}^1 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{p} B = \mathbb{CP}^m \times \mathbb{CP}^n,$$

we have the split exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H^{-1}(B; \mathbb{Z}) = 0 &\longrightarrow H^2(B; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(\mathbb{CP}^m; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{CP}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\xrightarrow{p^*} H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{f} H^0(B; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow H^3(B; \mathbb{Z}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where f is the integration along the fiber. Then H_m, H_n are naturally regarded as vector bundles over $\mathbb{CP}^m \times \mathbb{CP}^n$, and since $f(c_1(J_M^*)) = 1$, it follows that

$$H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \{\lambda \tilde{u} + \mu \tilde{v} + \nu \tilde{w} \mid \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3,$$

where $\tilde{u} = c_1(p^* H_m)$, $\tilde{v} = c_1(p^* H_n)$ and $\tilde{w} = c_1(J_M^*)$.

Remark 2.1 Let $\hat{u}, \hat{v}$ be the first Chern forms of H_m, H_n , respectively. Then $x\hat{u} + y\hat{v}$ is a Kähler form on $\mathbb{CP}^m \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ for $x, y > 0$, and hence $x\tilde{u} + y\tilde{v} + z\tilde{w}$ is a Kähler class of M for $x, y > 0$ and sufficiently small $z > 0$. Therefore the set of Kähler classes of M is contained in the subset $\{x\tilde{u} + y\tilde{v} + z\tilde{w} \mid x, y, z > 0\}$ of $H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$.

Now, let $F(x, y, z)$ be an integral homogeneous polynomial of degree $m+n+4$ defined by

$$F(x, y, z) = -(m(m+2)yz + n(n+2)xz + 2xy)g(x, y, z) + xyz h(x, y, z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x, y, z) &= \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \sum_{q=0}^m \binom{m+n+2}{s} \binom{s}{m-q} \binom{m+n-s}{q} (-1)^{m+n+s+q+1} \\
&\quad ((x-z)^{m-q} y^{n+q+2} - x^{m-q} (y-z)^{n+q+2}), \\
h(x, y, z) &= \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \sum_{q=0}^m \binom{m+n+2}{s} \binom{s}{m-q} \binom{m+n-s}{q} (-1)^{m+n+s+q+1} \\
&\quad \left(\begin{aligned}
&\{(m+n+2-s) + (n+2)(s-m+q)\}(x-z)^{m-q} y^{n+q+1} \\
&\quad + m(m-q)(x-z)^{m-q-1} y^{n+q+2} \\
&\quad + \{(m+n+2-s) - n(s-m+q)\} x^{m-q} (y-z)^{n+q+1} \\
&\quad - (m+2)(m-q) x^{m-q-1} (y-z)^{n+q+2}
\end{aligned} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For example, if $(m, n) = (1, 2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x, y, z) &= 120x^2y^3z^2 - 420x^2y^2z^3 + 390x^2yz^4 - 120x^2z^5 + 60xy^4z^2 - 90xy^3z^3 \\
&\quad + 150xy^2z^4 - 99xyz^5 + 24xz^6 - 90y^4z^3 + 90y^3z^4 - 45y^2z^5 + 9yz^6.
\end{aligned}$$

Our main result is the next theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *The character f_Ω for $\Omega = x\tilde{u} + y\tilde{v} + z\tilde{w}$ vanishes if and only if $F(x, y, z) = 0$. Hence the open subset of $H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by $F(x, y, z) \neq 0$ does not contain any Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature. (See Remark 3.2.)*

Remark 2.3 The group $\text{Aut}(M)$ contains an $(m+n+1)$ -dimensional algebraic torus. Hence M is toric and the character can be calculated also by the formula of Nakagawa [15].

3 Proof of the Theorem

Let $q \in M$, $q_m \in p^*H_m$, $q_n \in p^*H_n$ and $q_J \in J_M^*$ be points. Then the point q and the set (q_m, q_n, q_J) are expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
q &= [(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (\eta_0, \eta_1)] \\
&= [(az_0, \dots, az_m), (bw_0, \dots, bw_n), (ca\eta_0, cb\eta_1)], \\
(q_m, q_n, q_J) &= [[(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (\eta_0, \eta_1)], h_m, h_n, \xi] \\
&= [[(az_0, \dots, az_m), (bw_0, \dots, bw_n), (ca\eta_0, cb\eta_1)], ah_m, bh_n, c\xi]
\end{aligned}$$

for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Remark 3.1 Since f_Ω vanishes on $[\mathfrak{h}(M), \mathfrak{h}(M)]$ and $\mathfrak{h}(M)/[\mathfrak{h}(M), \mathfrak{h}(M)]$ is represented by the vector field along the fiber $\mathbb{CP}^1$, the character f_Ω vanishes if and only if $f_\Omega(X) = 0$ for the vector field X along the fiber.

Now we assume that p is an odd prime number hereafter. Then an action of $\mathbb{Z}_p = \langle g_p \rangle \subset (\mathrm{GL}(m+1, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))/\mathbb{C}^*$ on M is defined by

$$(2) \quad g_p \cdot [(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (\eta_0, \eta_1)] = [(z_0, \dots, z_m), (\alpha_p w_0, \dots, \alpha_p w_n), (\eta_0, \eta_1)] .$$

This action naturally extends to an inclusion $\iota : \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(M)$, which defines vector fields $X, X_p \in \mathfrak{h}(M)$ along the fiber as in (1) and we have $g_p = \exp(X_p)$. The fixed point set of g_p^k has the following two connected components

$$N_1 = [(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (1, 0)] , \quad N_2 = [(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (0, 1)]$$

for $1 \leq k \leq p-1$, which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^m \times \mathbb{CP}^n$ and have cell decompositions with no codimension one cells. Let $\nu(N_i, M)$ be the normal bundle of N_i ($i = 1, 2$) in M . Then, since

$$\begin{aligned} [(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (1, \tau)] &= [(az_0, \dots, az_m), (bw_0, \dots, bw_n), (1, a^{-1}b\tau)] , \\ g_p \cdot [(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (1, \tau)] &= [(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (1, \alpha_p^{-1}\tau)] , \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\nu(N_1, M) \simeq H_m^{-1} \otimes H_n , \quad g_p|_{\nu(N_1, M)} = g_p|(K_M^{-1}|_{N_1}) = \alpha_p^{-1} .$$

The same argument shows that

$$\nu(N_2, M) \simeq H_m \otimes H_n^{-1} , \quad g_p|_{\nu(N_2, M)} = g_p|(K_M^{-1}|_{N_2}) = \alpha_p .$$

Hence it follows from the equality $c_1(K_M^{-1}|_{N_i}) = c_1(M)|_{N_i} = c_1(TN_i) + c_1(\nu(N_i, M))$ that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\nu(N_1, M)) &= -u + v , & c_1(\nu(N_2, M)) &= u - v , \\ c_1(K_M^{-1}|_{N_1}) &= mu + (n+2)v , & c_1(K_M^{-1}|_{N_2}) &= (m+2)u + nv , \end{aligned}$$

where $u = c_1(H_m)$, $v = c_1(H_n)$. It is obvious that $\tilde{u}|_{N_i} = u$, $\tilde{v}|_{N_i} = v$ for $i = 1, 2$. Also, since

$$[[(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (1, 0)], \xi] = [[(az_0, \dots, az_m), (bw_0, \dots, bw_n), (1, 0)], a^{-1}\xi] ,$$

it follows that $\tilde{w}|_{N_1} = -u$. The same argument shows that $\tilde{w}|_{N_2} = -v$. Using the equalities above, we see that

$$c_1(M) = (m+2)\tilde{u} + (n+2)\tilde{v} + 2\tilde{w} ,$$

and hence for $\Omega = x\tilde{u} + y\tilde{v} + z\tilde{w}$ it follows that

$$(3) \quad \mu_\Omega = \frac{m(m+2)yz + n(n+2)xz + 2xy}{(m+n+1)xyz}.$$

Let λ, μ, ν be integers. Then $\Omega = \lambda\tilde{u} + \mu\tilde{v} + \nu\tilde{w}$ coincides with the first Chern class of the complex line bundle L defined by

$$L = p^*H_m^\lambda \otimes p^*H_n^\mu \otimes (J_M^*)^\nu.$$

The action (2) lifts to actions on p^*H_m, p^*H_n, J_M^* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g_p \cdot [[(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (\eta_0, \eta_1)], h_m, h_n, \xi] \\ = [[(z_0, \dots, z_m), (\alpha_p w_0, \dots, \alpha_p w_n), (\eta_0, \eta_1)], h_m, h_n, \xi]. \end{aligned}$$

This action defines a lift of the action (2) to L and we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} g_p|(p^*H_m|_{N_i}) &= 1, \quad g_p|(p^*H_n|_{N_i}) = \alpha_p^{-1} \quad (i = 1, 2) \\ g_p|(J_M^*|_{N_1}) &= 1, \quad g_p|(J_M^*|_{N_2}) = \alpha_p, \end{aligned}$$

and hence that

$$(4) \quad g_p|(L|_{N_1}) = \alpha_p^{-\mu}, \quad g_p|(L|_{N_2}) = \alpha_p^{-\mu+\nu}.$$

Using the results above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(k, \varepsilon, \zeta) &= u^m v^n \text{-coeff. of} \\ &\frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^k} \left(\alpha_p^{k(-\varepsilon r + \zeta \kappa)} e^{-\varepsilon(au+bv)+\zeta(\rho u+\tau v)} - 1 \right)^{m+n+2} \\ &\left(\frac{u}{1 - e^{-u}} \right)^{m+1} \left(\frac{v}{1 - e^{-v}} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^{-k\delta} e^{-\delta(u-v)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r, \kappa, a, b, \rho, \tau, \delta$ are numbers determined by i as follows:

	r	κ	a	b	ρ	τ	δ
$i = 1$	-1	$-\mu$	m	$n+2$	$\lambda - \nu$	μ	-1
$i = 2$	1	$-\mu + \nu$	$m+2$	n	λ	$\mu - \nu$	1

Then, using the substitution $x = e^u - 1$, $y = e^v - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_i(k, \varepsilon, \zeta) &= u^{-1}v^{-1}\text{-coeff. of} \\
&\quad \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^k} \left(\alpha_p^{k(-\varepsilon r + \zeta \kappa)} e^{u(\zeta \rho - \varepsilon a)} e^{v(\zeta \tau - \varepsilon b)} - 1 \right)^{m+n+2} \\
&\quad \left(\frac{e^u}{e^u - 1} \right)^{m+1} \left(\frac{e^v}{e^v - 1} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^{-k\delta} e^{-\delta u} e^{\delta v}} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \oint_{C(u)} \oint_{C(v)} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^k} \left(\alpha_p^{k(-\varepsilon r + \zeta \kappa)} e^{u(\zeta \rho - \varepsilon a)} e^{v(\zeta \tau - \varepsilon b)} - 1 \right)^{m+n+2} \\
&\quad \frac{(e^u)^m}{(e^u - 1)^{m+1}} \frac{(e^v)^n}{(e^v - 1)^{n+1}} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^{-k\delta} e^{-\delta u} e^{\delta v}} e^u e^v dv du \\
&\quad (\text{where } C(u), C(v) \text{ are sufficiently small counterclockwise loops around the origin}) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \oint_{C(x)} \oint_{C(y)} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^k} \left(\alpha_p^{k(-\varepsilon r + \zeta \kappa)} (1+x)^{\zeta \rho - \varepsilon a} (1+y)^{\zeta \tau - \varepsilon b} - 1 \right)^{m+n+2} \\
&\quad \frac{(1+x)^m}{x^{m+1}} \frac{(1+y)^n}{y^{n+1}} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^{-k\delta} (1+x)^{-\delta} (1+y)^\delta} dy dx \\
&\quad (\text{where } C(x), C(y) \text{ are sufficiently small counterclockwise loops around the origin}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we set $\beta = \zeta \rho - \varepsilon a$, $\gamma = \zeta \tau - \varepsilon b$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= (1+x)^{-\delta} (1+y)^\delta - 1 = -\delta x + \delta y + Q(x, y), \\
\Psi &= (1+x)^\beta (1+y)^\gamma - 1 = \beta x + \gamma y + R(x, y),
\end{aligned}$$

where the total degrees of $Q(x, y)$, $R(x, y)$ are greater than 1. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_i(k, \varepsilon, \zeta) \\
&= x^m y^n \text{-coeff. of} \\
&\quad \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^k} \left(\alpha_p^{k(\zeta \kappa - \varepsilon r)} - 1 + \alpha_p^{k(\zeta \kappa - \varepsilon r)} \Psi \right)^{m+n+2} (1+x)^m (1+y)^n \left(1 - \alpha_p^{-k\delta} - \alpha_p^{-k\delta} \Phi \right)^{-1} \\
&= x^m y^n \text{-coeff. of} \\
&\quad \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_p^k} \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n+2}{s} \left(\alpha_p^{k(\zeta \kappa - \varepsilon r)} - 1 \right)^{m+n+2-s} \alpha_p^{ks(\zeta \kappa - \varepsilon r)} \Psi^s (1+x)^m (1+y)^n \\
&\quad \sum_{j=0}^{m+n} \frac{\alpha_p^{-kj\delta} \Phi^j}{(1 - \alpha_p^{-k\delta})^{j+1}} \\
&= x^m y^n \text{-coeff. of} \\
&\quad \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \sum_{j=0}^{m+n-s} \binom{m+n+2}{s} (-1) \Lambda_j(\alpha_p^k) (1+x)^m (1+y)^n \Phi^j \Psi^s,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_j(t)$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ defined by

$$\Lambda_j(t) = \frac{t^{s(\zeta \kappa - \varepsilon r) + \delta} (t^{\zeta \kappa - \varepsilon r} - 1)^{m+n+2-s}}{(t-1)(t^\delta - 1)^{j+1}}.$$

Here, since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \alpha_p^{kl} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

for any integer l , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1) \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \Lambda_j(\alpha_p^k) &\equiv \Lambda_j(1) \pmod{p} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < m+n-s \\ \delta^{m+n-s+1}(\zeta\kappa - \varepsilon r)^{m+n+2-s} & \text{if } j = m+n-s \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} T_i(k, \varepsilon, \zeta) \\ &\equiv x^m y^n \text{-coeff. of} \\ &\quad \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n+2}{s} \delta^{m+n-s+1} (\zeta\kappa - \varepsilon r)^{m+n+2-s} (-\delta(x-y))^{m+n-s} (\beta x + \gamma y)^s \pmod{p} \\ &= x^m y^n \text{-coeff. of} \\ &\quad \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n+2}{s} \delta^{m+n-s+1} (\zeta\kappa - \varepsilon r)^{m+n+2-s} (-\delta)^{m+n-s} \\ &\quad \sum_{h=0}^s \binom{s}{h} \beta^h x^h \gamma^{s-h} y^{s-h} \sum_{q=0}^m \binom{m+n-s}{q} x^q (-y)^{m+n-s-q} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \sum_{q=0}^m \binom{m+n+2}{s} \binom{s}{m-q} \binom{m+n-s}{q} (-1)^q \\ &\quad \delta(\kappa\zeta - r\varepsilon)^{m+n+2-s} (\rho\zeta - a\varepsilon)^{m-q} (\tau\zeta - b\varepsilon)^{s-m+q}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &S_\varepsilon(\zeta) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{p} \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \sum_{q=0}^m \binom{m+n+2}{s} \binom{s}{m-q} \binom{m+n-s}{q} (-1)^q \\ &\quad \left((-1)^{m+n+s+1} (\mu\zeta - \varepsilon)^{m+n+2-s} ((\lambda - \nu)\zeta - m\varepsilon)^{m-q} (\mu\zeta - (n+2)\varepsilon)^{s-m+q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + ((-\mu + \nu)\zeta - \varepsilon)^{m+n+2-s} (\lambda\zeta - (m+2)\varepsilon)^{m-q} ((\mu - \nu)\zeta - n\varepsilon)^{s-m+q} \right) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \zeta^{m+n+2} - \varepsilon \frac{1}{p} h(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \zeta^{m+n+1} + \varphi(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where the degree of $\varphi(\zeta)$ is less than $m+n+1$.

Here for $f(x) = (\sinh x)^k$ we have

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} e^{(k-2i)x}, \quad f(x) = x^k + \frac{k}{6}x^{k+2} + \text{higher order terms}$$

and hence it follows that

$$2^k f^{(l)}(0) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} (k-2i)^l = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq l < k \text{ or } l = k+1 \\ 2^k k! & \text{if } l = k \end{cases}.$$

Therefore it follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda\mu\nu F_L(g_p) \\ &= (m+n+2)\lambda\mu\nu \\ & \quad \sum_{i=0}^{m+n+1} (-1)^i \binom{m+n+1}{i} (S_{-1}(m+n+1-2i) - S_{+1}(m+n+1-2i)) \\ & \quad - (m(m+2)\mu\nu + n(n+2)\lambda\nu + 2\lambda\mu) \sum_{i=0}^{m+n+2} (-1)^i \binom{m+n+2}{i} S_0(m+n+2-2i) \\ & \equiv \frac{2^{m+n+2}(m+n+2)!}{p} F(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any odd prime number p , it follows from Theorem 1.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} A(m, n) \lambda\mu\nu f_{\Omega(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}(X) &= A(m, n) \lambda\mu\nu f_{\Omega(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}(X_p) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{p} 2^{m+n+2} (m+n+2)! F(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \lambda\tilde{u} + \mu\tilde{v} + \nu\tilde{w}$, which implies that

$$(5) \quad A(m, n) \lambda\mu\nu f_{\Omega(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}(X) = 2^{m+n+2} (m+n+2)! F(\lambda, \mu, \nu).$$

Now, since $\triangle_{k\omega} = k^{-1}\triangle_\omega$, it follows that $xyz f_{\Omega(x, y, z)}(X)$ is a homogeneous function in x, y, z of degree $m+n+4$ as well as $F(x, y, z)$. Moreover, since the set

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (rx, ry, rz) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \text{ for some } r > 0\}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the equality (5) implies that for any $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$A(m, n) xyz f_{\Omega(x, y, z)}(X) = 2^{m+n+2} (m+n+2)! F(x, y, z).$$

The result in Theorem 2.2 follows immediately from the equality above.

Remark 3.2 Let $G = (U(m+1) \times U(n+1))/U(1)$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(M)$ and $q = [(z_0, \dots, z_m), (w_0, \dots, w_n), (\eta_0, \eta_1)]$ a point in M . Then we can see that the real dimension of the isotropy subgroup of G at q is equal to $m^2 + n^2$ if $\eta_0\eta_1 \neq 0$ and is equal to $m^2 + n^2 + 1$ if $\eta_0\eta_1 = 0$, which implies that the real codimension of the principal orbit of G in M is one. Hence it follows from Corollary 1.1 in [8] that each Kähler class of M contains an extremal metric, and therefore it follows from [2, Theorem 4] (see also [5, Theorem 3.3.1]) that a Kähler class contains a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature if the character for the Kähler class vanishes. Hence a Kähler class $\Omega = x\tilde{u} + y\tilde{v} + z\tilde{w}$ contains a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature if and only if $F(x, y, z) = 0$. Moreover we can see that the $\text{Aut}(M)$ -orbit of q with $\eta_0\eta_1 \neq 0$ coincides with the open subset $M \setminus (N_1 \cup N_2)$ of M . Hence M is an almost-homogeneous manifold (see [9]) and therefore it follows from [8, Theorem 4] that M admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature.

4 Examples

In this section, we consider the cases $1 \leq m < n \leq 10$. Since $F(x, y, z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial, $F(x, y, z)$ for $x, y, z > 0$ is determined by its restriction to the face f of a regular octahedron defined by

$$f = \{(x, y, z) \mid x + y + z = 1, x, y, z > 0\}.$$

Let C be a point in f defined by

$$C = \frac{1}{m+n+6}(m+2, n+2, 2)$$

and set $A = (1, 0, 0)$, $B = (0, 1, 0)$. Then, since C is homothetic to $c_1(M) > 0$, C is a Kähler class and hence the interior of the triangle ABC is contained in the set of Kähler classes of M (see Remark 2.1). Let l_1, l_2 be lines in f defined by

$$\begin{aligned} l_1(t) &= (x_1(t), y_1(t), z_1(t)) = (1-t)A + tC, \\ l_2(t) &= (x_2(t), y_2(t), z_2(t)) = (1-t)\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) + t(0, 0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < t < 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} F(l_1(t))/y_1(t)^{n+3} \\
&= \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \sum_{q=0}^m \binom{m+n+2}{s} \binom{s}{m-q} \binom{m+n-s}{q} (-1)^{m+n+s+q+1} t^q \\
&\quad 2(n+2)^{-n-2} (m+n+6)^{-q} \\
&\quad \{((n+1)s + (n+2)q - m - mn - 2n - n^2)(n+2)^{n+1+q} \\
&\quad - ((n+1)s + nq - m - mn - 2n - n^2 - 2)n^{n+1+q}\} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n+2}{s} \binom{s}{m} (-1)^{m+n+s+1} 2(n+2)^{-n-2} \\
&\quad \{((n+1)s - m - mn - 2n - n^2)(n+2)^{n+1} \\
&\quad - ((n+1)s - m - mn - 2n - n^2 - 2)n^{n+1}\} , \\
& \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} F(l_2(t))/z_2(t)^2 \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{m+n} \sum_{q=0}^m \binom{m+n+2}{s} \binom{s}{m-q} \binom{m+n-s}{q} (-1)^{m+n+s+q+1} 2^{-m-n-2} \\
&\quad \{2(n-m)q^2 + (2(n+1)s + (m^2 - 4mn - n^2 - 7m - 3n - 2))q \\
&\quad + (-mn + n^2 - m + 2n + 1)s + 3m^2 + m^2n - n^3 - mn - 4n^2 - 2m - 4n\} .
\end{aligned}$$

Direct computation using the equalities above shows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} F(l_1(t))/y_1(t)^{n+3} < 0 , \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} F(l_2(t))/z_2(t)^2 > 0 ,$$

which imply that there exist points P_1, P_2 in the interior of the triangle ABC such that $F(P_1) < 0$ and $F(P_2) > 0$. Therefore there exist infinitely many Kähler classes Ω such that f_Ω vanishes and hence that Ω contains a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature (see Remark 3.2).

On the other hand, direct computation also shows that

$$F(m+2, n+2, 2) \neq 0 ,$$

which implies that $c_1(M)$ does not contain any Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature. This result shows that M does not admit any Kähler-Einstein metric. (See [3].)

References

- [1] S. Bando, An obstruction for Chern class forms to be harmonic, *Kodai Math. J.* **29** (2006), 337–345.
- [2] E. Calabi, Extremal Kähler metrics II, *Differential geometry and complex analysis*, (I. Chavel and H.M. Farkas eds.), 95–114, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1985.
- [3] A. Futaki, An obstruction to the existence of Einstein-Kähler metrics, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), 437–443.
- [4] A. Futaki, On compact Kähler manifold of constant scalar curvature, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **59** (1983), 401–402.
- [5] A. Futaki, Kähler-Einstein metrics and integral invariants, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1314**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [6] A. Futaki and S. Morita, Invariant polynomials of the automorphism group of a compact complex manifold, *J. Differential Geom.* **21**(1985), 135–142.
- [7] A. Futaki and K. Tsuboi, Fixed point formula for characters of automorphism groups associated with Kähler classes, *Math. Res. Lett.* **8** (2001), 495–507.
- [8] A. D. Hwang, On existence of Kähler metrics with constant scalar curvature, *Osaka J. Math.* **31** (1994), 561–595.
- [9] A. T. Huckleberry and D. M. Snow, Almost-homogeneous Kähler manifolds with hypersurface orbits, *Osaka J. Math.* **19** (1982), 763–786.
- [10] J. Kazdan and F. Warner, Prescribing curvatures, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **27** (1975), 309–319.
- [11] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- [12] A. Lichnerowicz, Sur les transformations analytiques d’une variété Kählerienne compacte, 1959, *Colloque Geom. Diff. Global* (Bruxelles, 1958), 11–26, Centre Belge Rech. Math., Louvain.
- [13] A. Lichnerowicz, Isométrie et transformations analytiques d’une variété Kählerienne compacte, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **87** (1959), 427–437.

- [14] Y. Matsushima, Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes d'une certaine variété Kaehlérienne, Nagoya Math. J. **11** (1957), 145–150.
- [15] Y. Nakagawa, Bando-Calabi-Futaki character of compact toric manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. **53** (2001), 479–490.
- [16] Y. Nakagawa, The Bando-Calabi-Futaki character and its lifting to a group character, Math. Ann. **325** (2003), 31–53.
- [17] G. Tian, Kähler-Einstein metrics on algebraic manifolds, in: Proc. C.I.M.E. conference on Transcendental methods in algebraic geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 1646, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996, 143–185.

TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF MARINE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
 4-5-7 KOUNAN, MINATO-KU
 TOKYO 108-8477 JAPAN
E-mail address: tsubois@kaiyodai.ac.jp